



Estimation of population variance using
regression type estimator under successive
sampling

Shashi Bhushan and Shailja Pandey

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid
dissemination of research results and are
integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

May 8, 2020

Estimation of population variance using regression type estimator under successive sampling

Shashi Bhushan, Shailja Pandey
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Dr. Shakuntala Misra National Rehabilitation University

In the realm of successive sampling, most of the literature concerns with the estimation of population mean and no emphasis is laid on estimation of population variance. Motivated by Isaki's (1983) work of variance estimation, Singh et al. (2011) put their first effort on estimation of population variance under successive sampling. Thus, by cognizing aforementioned problem, we proposed combined estimators for estimating population variance precisely and an analytical scenario is also presented for judging its properties. A numerical illustration, which validate the usefulness of the proposed estimator, based on hypothetical population is also mentioned.

Keywords: Successive sampling, mean square error and optimum replacement policy.

1 Introduction

The technique of successive sampling is prevalent in a wide variety of contexts due to a realization that with a dynamic population a census at infrequent intervals is of limited use. To broaden the horizons of sampling techniques, Jessen (1942) in statistical investigation of sample survey for obtaining farm facts investigated "matching" as a special case of double sampling and introduced new sampling technique as successive sampling. He utilized the information obtained on earlier occasion with the partial replacement of sampling unit for improving the estimates of mean of the current occasion. After Jessen, Patterson (1950) confronting the same sampling technique with the partial replacement of units and provide current estimates and estimate of change. Further, the caliber of this sampling procedure was recognized and extended by Eckler (1955), Rao and Graham (1964), Singh and Singh (1965), Sen (1971,72,73a,73b), Kathuria (1975), Tikkiwal (1951, 53,56,58,60,64,65,67), Raj (1965), Singh (1968), Singh and Kathuria (1969), Ghangurde and Rao (1969), Avadhani and Srivastava (1972), Chotai (1974), Arnab (1979), Sen et al.(1975), Chaudhuri and Arnab (1977,79), Adhvaryu (1978), Gupta (1979), Tripathi and Srivastava (1979), Singh (1980), Kumar and Gupta (1981), Das (1982), Chaturvedi and Tripathi (1983), Okafor (1987,92), Chaudhari and Graham (1983), Srivastava and Jhajj (1987), Tripathi et al. (1989), Okafor and Arnab (1987), Arnab and Okafor (1992),

Singh et al. (1992), Singh and Yadav (1992), Prasad and Graham (1994), Birdar and Singh (2001) etc.

With a general approach and sampling strategy Singh et al (2011) proposed estimators for estimation of population variance in successive sampling. Ahmed et al (2016), Singh and Singh (2016) extended the same sampling strategy and devised estimators. On the similar lines, we proposed some estimators with efficient sampling strategy in subsection (2.2). An optimum replacement policy, expressions for efficiency and percentage gain in precision, and expressions for cost efficiency and percentage gain in precision are checked out in section (4).

2 Sampling Methodology

2.1 Notations

Let $U = U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N$ be a finite population of size N , which has been sampled over two occasions. Let the character under study be denoted by x and y on the first and second occasion respectively. Let the sizes of both the samples drawn using simple random sampling without replacement on both the occasion be n . We use two phase sampling in the matched proportion for which large sample is the first sample of size n for study variable x and small sample is of size m units for the study variable y at the second occasion.

In successive sampling in selecting the second sample of size n , m of the units in the first sample are retained. The rest $u(= n - m)$ units are chosen as the new units selected independently of the matched portion. The current paper adopts the information obtained from the first occasion to estimate the population variance on the second occasion. Let (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) be the ' n ' units of study variable x drawn by SRSWOR at occasion first. From these obtained n units of first occasion, we draw m units such as (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) of the study variable as matched units on the second occasion; $(y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_u^*)$ be the values of the study variable y for the unmatched portion on the second occasion. The following notations are envisaged for the further use

\bar{X} and \bar{Y} are the population means of the study variables at occasion first and second respectively.

S_x^2 , and S_y^2 are the sampling variance of the variables written as in subscript.

$s_{xn}^2, s_{xm}^2, s_{ym}^2$ and s_{yu}^2 are the sample variance of the variables written as in subscript with their respective sizes.

C_x and C_y be the coefficient of variation of the study variables at first and second occasion respectively.

$Q = \frac{u}{n}$ fraction of unmatched sample

$P = \frac{m}{n}$ fraction of matched sample

2.2 Proposed Estimator

Motivated by Singh et al (2011), we present some combined estimator T'_i of finite population variance based on matched and unmatched proportions are

$$T'_i = \phi_i T_{mi} + (1 - \phi_i) T_u; \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{here, } T_{m1} = s_{ym}^2 + \beta'(s_{ym}^2 - s_{xn}^2), T_{m2} = s_{ym}^2 \left[1 + \log \left(\frac{s_{xm}^2}{s_{xn}^2} \right) \right]^{\beta_0}, T_{m3} = s_{ym}^2 \left[1 + \beta_1 \log \left(\frac{s_{xm}^2}{s_{xn}^2} \right) \right],$$

$$T_{m4} = s_{ym}^2 \left[1 + \log \left(\frac{s_{xm}^{*2}}{s_{xn}^{*2}} \right) \right]^{\beta_2}, T_{m5} = s_{ym}^2 \left[1 + \beta_3 \log \left(\frac{s_{xm}^{*2}}{s_{xn}^{*2}} \right) \right] \text{ and } T_u = s_{yu}^2$$

where, $s_{xn}^{*2} = a s_{xn}^2 + b$ and $s_{xm}^{*2} = a s_{xm}^2 + b$ such that $a (\neq 0)$ and b are either the real numbers or functions of the known population parameters at the first occasion such as the standard deviations, coefficient of kurtosis, coefficient of variation, and correlation coefficient of the population.

The mean square error of the estimator is derived up to the first order of approximations under large sample assumptions and using the following transformations:

$$s_{ym}^2 = S_y^2(1 + \epsilon_0)$$

$$s_{xm}^2 = S_x^2(1 + \epsilon_1)$$

$$s_{xn}^2 = S_x^2(1 + \epsilon_2)$$

and $s_{yn}^2 = S_y^2(1 + \epsilon_3)$ such that $E(\epsilon_i) = 0; \forall i = 0, 1, 2, 3$

$$E(\epsilon_0^2) = \frac{1}{m}(\lambda_{40} - 1) = \frac{1}{m}\lambda_{40}^*$$

$$E(\epsilon_1^2) = \frac{1}{m}(\lambda_{04} - 1) = \frac{1}{m}\lambda_{04}^*$$

$$E(\epsilon_2^2) = \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_{04} - 1) = \frac{1}{n}\lambda_{04}^*$$

$$E(\epsilon_0\epsilon_1) = \frac{1}{m}(\lambda_{22} - 1) = \frac{1}{m}\lambda_{22}^*$$

$$E(\epsilon_0\epsilon_2) = \frac{1}{m}(\lambda_{22} - 1) = \frac{1}{n}\lambda_{22}^*$$

where $\lambda_{rs} = \frac{\mu_{rs}}{\sqrt{\mu_{20}^r \mu_{02}^s}}$ and $\lambda_{rs}^* = \lambda_{rs} - 1 \quad \forall r, s = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$

3 MSE of the Proposed Estimator

Theorem 1. *The mean square error of the proposed estimator T'_1 to the first order of approximation is given by*

$$M(T'_1) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1 - Q\rho^{*2}}{1 - Q^2\rho^{*2}} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* \quad (3.1)$$

where, $\rho^* = \frac{\lambda_{22}^*}{\sqrt{\lambda_{40}^*} \sqrt{\lambda_{04}^*}}$

Proof. The MSE of the proposed estimator T'_1 is given by

$$M(T'_1) = (1 - \phi_1)^2 M(T_u) + \phi_1^2 M(T_{m1}) \quad (3.2)$$

For the minimum variance of the estimator T'_1 , we differentiate above expression with respect to ϕ_1 , we get

$$\phi_{opt} = \frac{M(T_u)}{M(T_u) + M(T_{m1})} \quad (3.3)$$

Now, MSE of the unmatched portion and matched portion of the suggested combined estimator is given by

$$M(T_{u1}) = \frac{S_y^4}{u} \lambda_{40}^* \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m1} &= s_{ym}^2 + \beta'(s_{xm}^2 - s_{xn}^2) \\ &= S_{ym}^2(1 + \epsilon_0) + \beta'[S_{xm}^2(1 + \epsilon_1) - S_{xn}^2(1 + \epsilon_2)] \end{aligned}$$

$$(T_{m1} - S_y^2) = [S_y^2 \epsilon_0 + \beta' S_y^2 (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)]$$

On squaring both sides and then taking expectation on both sides of the above expression1, we get

$$E(T_{m1} - S_y^2)^2 = E[S_y^2 \epsilon_0 + \beta' S_y^2 (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)]^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(T_{m1}) &= E[S_y^4 \epsilon_0^2 + \beta'^2 S_x^4 (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2 + 2\beta' S_y^2 S_x^2 (\epsilon_0 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0 \epsilon_2)] \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{40}^*}{m} S_y^4 + \beta'^2 \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \lambda_{04}^* S_x^4 + 2\left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \beta' \lambda_{22}^* S_y^2 S_x^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{40}^*}{m} S_y^4 + \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n}\right) [\beta'^2 \lambda_{04}^* S_x^4 + 2\beta' \lambda_{22}^* S_y^2 S_x^2] \end{aligned}$$

For minimum variance of the proposed estimator, differentiate above expression with respect to β , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial M(T_{m1})}{\partial \beta'} &= 0 \Rightarrow 2\beta' S_x^4 \beta_{2x}^* + 2S_y^2 S_x^2 \lambda_{22}^* = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \beta' = \frac{-S_y^2 \lambda_{22}^*}{S_x^2 \beta_{2x}^*} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have minimum variance as follows

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(T_{m1}) &= \frac{\lambda_{40}^*}{m} S_y^4 - \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{\lambda_{22}^*}{\lambda_{04}^*} S_y^4 \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{40}^*}{m} S_y^4 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{22}^{*2}}{\lambda_{40}^* \lambda_{04}^*}\right) + \frac{S_y^4 \lambda_{22}^{*2}}{n \lambda_{04}^*} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{40}^*}{m} S_y^4 (1 - \rho^{*2}) + \lambda_{40}^* \rho^{*2} \frac{S_y^4}{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* \left[\frac{1 - \rho^{*2}}{m} + \frac{\rho^{*2}}{n} \right] \\
&= S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* \frac{1}{k_A}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\frac{1}{k_A} = \left[\frac{1 - \rho^{*2}}{m} + \frac{\rho^{*2}}{n} \right]$ and $\rho^{*2} = \frac{\lambda_{22}^{*2}}{\lambda_{40}^* \lambda_{04}^*}$

So, expression ϕ_{1opt} reduces as follows

$$\phi_{1opt} = \frac{\frac{S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^*}{u}}{\left[\frac{S_y^4}{k_A} + \frac{S_y^4}{u} \right] \lambda_{40}^*} = \frac{k_A}{k_A + u} \tag{3.6}$$

$$1 - \phi_{1opt} = \frac{u}{k_A + u} \tag{3.7}$$

Now, the MSE of the combined estimator, by using the expressions (3.2), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M(T'_1) &= (1 - \phi_1)^2 M(T_u) + \phi_1^2 M(T_{m1}) \\
&= \frac{u^2}{k_A + u} \frac{S_y^2}{u} \lambda_{40}^* + \frac{k_A^2}{k_A + u} \frac{S_y^4}{k_A} \lambda_{40}^* \\
&= \frac{S_y^4}{k_A + u} \lambda_{40}^* \\
&= \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1 - \rho^{*2}}{m} + \frac{\rho^{*2}}{n} \right]^{-1} + u} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* \\
&= \frac{1}{\left[\frac{n - n\rho^{*2} + m\rho^{*2}}{mn} \right] + u} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* \\
&= \frac{1}{\left[\frac{n - u\rho^{*2}}{mn} \right] + u} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* \\
&= \frac{n - u\rho^{*2}}{n^2 - u^2\rho^{*2}} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1 - Q\rho^{*2}}{1 - Q^2\rho^{*2}} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^*
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $Q = \frac{u}{n}$ and $P = 1 - Q = \frac{m}{n}$ are unmatched and matched portion respectively.

□

Theorem 2. *The mean square error of the proposed estimator T'_i to the first order of approximation is*

$$M(T'_j) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1 - Q\rho^{*2}}{1 - Q^2\rho^{*2}} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^*; \quad j = 2, 3, 4, 5 \quad (3.9)$$

$$\text{where, } \rho^* = \frac{\lambda_{22}^*}{\sqrt{\lambda_{40}^*} \sqrt{\lambda_{04}^*}}$$

Proof. We can proof the theorem 2 on the similar pattern of the theorem 1. □

4 Analytical Study

Optimization is paramount to any problem involving decision making in any scientific domain. We also put here an analysis regarding our proposed strategy, namely optimum replacement policy. Further, gain in precision is considered by ignoring the cost of sampling operations.

4.1 Optimum Replacement Policy

Under replacement policy, we optimize values of unmatched proportion Q (or u) and matched proportion P (or m) for the proposed estimators are obtained by

$$\frac{\partial T'_i}{\partial Q} = 0; \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

We get same quadratic expression by minimizing above expression from all the proposed estimators as follows

$$\rho^{*2}Q^2 - 2Q + 1 = 0$$

$$Q^* = \frac{2 \pm \sqrt{4 - 4\rho^{*2}}}{2\rho^{*2}}$$

$$Q^* = \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{*2}}\right)^{-1}$$

Hence, we get

$$P^* = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \rho^{*2}}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{*2}}} \quad (4.1)$$

Thus, by putting optimum values of P and Q , we obtain optimum mean square error as follows

$$\begin{aligned} M_{opt}(T'_i) &= \frac{1 - \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{*2}}\right)^{-1} \rho^{*2}}{1 - \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{*2}}\right)^{-2} \rho^{*2}} \frac{S_y^4}{n} \lambda_{40}^* \\ &= \frac{S_y^4}{2n} \lambda_{40}^* \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{*2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Gain in Precision

Ignoring the cost of the sampling operations the percentage proportional gain due to matching over no matching for S_y^2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Gain &= \left[\frac{\frac{1}{n} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1 - Q\rho^{*2}}{1 - Q^2\rho^{*2}} \right) S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^*}{\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1 - Q\rho^{*2}}{1 - Q^2\rho^{*2}} \right) S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^*} \right] 100\% \\ &= \left[\frac{1 - Q^2\rho^{*2}}{1 - Q\rho^{*2}} - 1 \right] 100\% \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Further, if we wish to use matched samples the propotional increase in the variance resulting from the deviation from optimum matching for S_y^2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} prop.inc.M(T'_i) &= \left[\frac{M(T'_i)}{M_{opt}(T'_i)} - 1 \right] 100\% \\ &= \left[\frac{2(1 - Q\rho^{*2})}{(1 - Q^2\rho^{*2})(1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{*2}})} - 1 \right] 100\% \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

4.3 Cost Efficiency and Gain in Precision

Following Kulldorf (1963), we also consider the case of cost by taking the total cost apart from fixed cost on the second occasion. Let the total cost except the fixed cost on the second occasion is given by

$$C_2 = mc_m + uc_u \quad (4.4)$$

where c_m = per unit cost for matched portion
and c_u = per unit cost for unmatched portion

Dividing by c_u , we have

$$\frac{C_2}{c_u} = m\delta + u$$

$$\frac{C_2}{c_u} = \delta n + (1 - \delta)u$$

$$\text{where, } \delta = \frac{c_m}{c_u}.$$

So, on the second occasion, the optimum unmatched proportion $Q = \frac{u}{n}$ for proposed sampling strategies with the above cost structure can be obtained by minimizing the following function

$$\frac{MC_2}{c_u S_y^4} = \frac{1 - Q\rho^{*2}}{1 - Q^2\rho^{*2}} \left[\delta + (1 - \delta)Q \right] \lambda_{40}^*$$

with respect to Q

The optimum value of Q minimizing the above expression is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} \left(\frac{MC_2}{c_u S_y^4} \right) &= 0 \\ (1 - \delta - \rho^{*2} \delta) \rho^{*2} Q^2 + 2(2\delta - 1)Q + (1 - \delta - \rho^2 \delta) &= 0 \\ Q_{(opt)}^* &= \frac{-(2\delta - 1) \pm \sqrt{(2\delta - 1)^2 - \left(\frac{(1 - \delta - \rho^{*2} \delta)^2}{\rho^{*2}} \right)}}{(1 - \delta - \rho^{*2} \delta)} \end{aligned}$$

When the costs of sampling operations are considered the percentage proportional gain due to matching over no matching for the proposed sampling strategy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Gain_{c,nomatch} &= \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{n} S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^* \right) n c_u}{\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1 - Q_{(opt)}^* \rho^{*2}}{1 - Q_{(opt)}^{*2} \rho^{*2}} \right) \left(\delta + (1 - \delta) Q_{(opt)}^* \right) S_y^4 \lambda_{40}^*} - 1 \right] 100\% \\ &= \left[\frac{(1 - Q_{(opt)}^{*2} \rho^{*2})}{(1 - Q_{(opt)}^* \rho^{*2}) \left(\delta + (1 - \delta) Q_{(opt)}^* \right)} - 1 \right] 100\% \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Further under the optimum matching the percentage proportional increase in the product of variance and the corresponding per unit cost due to deviation from the optimum matching for the proposed sampling strategy is given by

$$prop.inc.M(T'_i) = \left[\frac{M(T'_i) C_2}{M_{opt}(T'_i) C_{2(opt)}} - 1 \right] 100\%$$

5 Numerical Illustration

Table 1: Optimum Matched Percentage and Percentage Gain in Precesion

ρ^*	$\left(\frac{m}{n} \right)_{opt}$	% gain in precision for $\left(\frac{m}{n} \right)_{opt}$	% gain in precision for $\left(\frac{m}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$	% gain in precision for $\left(\frac{m}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{3}$	% gain in precision for $\left(\frac{m}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{4}$
0.6.	44	11.1111	10.97561	10.526316	9.246575
0.7.	41	16.61639	16.622517	16.17162	14.2569
0.8.	37	25	23.52941	24.80620	23.07692
0.9.	30	39.28645	34.0361	39.13043	38.69427
0.95.	24	52.40999	41.11617	50.34868	52.36944
1.0.	0	—	50.0000	66.666	75

Table 2: Proportional increase in variance when the proposed sampling strategy is used

ρ^*	propotional increase in variance for $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$	propotional increase in variance for $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$	propotional increase in variance for $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{4}$
0.6.	0.1221001	0.5291005	1.7067224
0.7.	0.3882336	0.4345067	1.8779183
0.8.	1.1904762	0.1552795	1.5625000
0.9.	3.9190411	0.1121329	0.4269667
0.95.	8.0032084	1.3710260	0.0266165
1.0.	33.3333333	20.0000000	14.2857143

6 Interpretation and Conclusion

The table (1) give the results of the optimum matched percentage of the sample obtained by (4.1) for the proposed successive sampling strategy and compared with no matching, the percentage gain in precision is given by (4.5) for given ρ^* . The best percentage to match never exceeds 50% and decreases rapidly as we increase ρ^* . When $\rho^* = 1$, then we have matched proportion 0. We can also explain it as, as sample size or population size become large, then we can not be improve the estimator of variance at the estimation stage. Further the percentage gain due to matching with $\frac{m}{n} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ compared with no matching is tabulated in table (2). As matching proportion is decreases, we can see from table that percentage gain in precision is increased as we increase ρ^* . Certainly, the whole study improve the estimation procedure and lengthen the idea in the realm of the successive sampling and wipe out the existing gap.

References

- [1] Adhvaryu, D.: Successive sampling using multi-auxiliary information. *Sankhya* **C167** , 167-173 (1978).
- [2] Ahmad, Z., I. Hussain, and M. Hanif. Estimation of finite population variance in successive sampling using multi-auxiliary variables. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods* **45** (3):553–65 (2016).
- [3] Arnab, R.: On strategies of sampling finite populations on successive occasions with varying probabilities. *Sankhya* **C41**, 141-155 (1979).
- [4] Arnab, R., Okafor, F.C.: A note on double sampling over two occasions. *Pak. J. Stat.* **8**(3), 9-18 (1992).
- [5] Avadhani M.S., Srivastava S.K.: A comparison on Midzuno-Sen scheme with pps sampling without replacement and its application to successive sampling. *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.* **24**, 153–164 (1972)

- [6] Biradar R.S., Singh H.P.: Successive sampling using auxiliary information on both the occasions. *Calcutta Stat. Assoc. Bull.* **51**, 243–251 (2001)
- [7] Chaturvedi D.K., Tripathi T.P.: Estimation of population ratio on two occasions using multivariate auxiliary information. *J. Indian Stat. Assoc.* **21**, 113–120 (1983)
- [8] Chaudhuri A., Arnab R.: On the relative efficiencies of a few strategies of sampling with varying probabilities on two occasions. *Cal. Stat. Assoc. Bull.* **26**, 25–38 (1977)
- [9] Chaudhuri A., Arnab R.: On the relative efficiencies of sampling strategies under a super-population model. *Sankhya* **C41**, 40–43 (1979)
- [10] Chaudhuri A., Graham J.E.: Sampling on two occasions with PPSWOR. *Survey Methodol.* **9**(1), 139–151 (1983)
- [11] Chotai J.: A note on Rao-Hartley-Cochran method for pps sampling over two occasions. *Sankhyā* **C31**, 173–180 (1974)
- [12] Cochran W. G.: *Sampling Techniques*, Third edition, Wiley Eastern Limited (1977).
- [13] Das A.K.: Estimation of population ratio on two occasions. *J. Indian Soc. Agric. Stat.* **34**, 1–9 (1982)
- [14] Eckler A.R.: Rotation sampling. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **26**, 664–685 (1955)
- [15] Ghangurde P.D., Rao J.N.K.: Some results on sampling over two occasions. *Sankhya* **A31**, 463–472 (1969)
- [16] Gupta P.C.: Sampling on two successive occasions. *J. Stat. Res.* **13**, 7–16 (1979)
- [17] Isaki C.T.: Variance estimation using auxiliary information. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **78**, 117–123 (1983)
- [18] Jessen, R.J.: Statistical investigation of a survey for obtaining farm facts. *Iowa Agric. Expt. Stat. Res. Bull.* **304** (1942)
- [19] Kathuria O.P.: Some estimators in two-stage sampling on successive occasions with partial matching at both stages. *Sankhyā* **C37**, 147–162 (1975)
- [20] Kumar P., Gupta V.K.: On ratio estimators in two phase sampling under size stratification and estimation over two successive occasions. *Math. Oper. sch. Stat. Ser. Stat.* **12**(3), 400–417 (1981)
- [21] Okafor F.C.: Comparison of estimators of population total in two-stage successive sampling using auxiliary information. *Survey Methodol.* **13**(1), 109–121 (1987)
- [22] Okafor F.C.: The theory and application of sampling over two occasions for the estimation of current population ratio. *Statistica* **42**(1), 137–147 (1992)
- [23] Okafor F.C., Arnab R.: Some strategies of two stage sampling for estimating population ratios over two occasions. *Aust. J. Stat.* **29**(2), 128–142 (1987)

- [24] Patterson H.D.: Sampling on successive occasions with partial replacement of units. *J. R. Stat. Soc.* **B12**, 241–255 (1950)
- [25] Prasad N.G.N., Graham J.E.: PPS sampling over two occasions. *Survey Methodol.* **21**(1), 59–64 (1994)
- [26] Raj D.: On sampling over two occasions with probability proportional to size. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **36**, 327–330 (1965)
- [27] Rao J.N.K., Graham J.E.: Rotation design for sampling on repeated occasions. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **59**, 492–509 (1964)
- [28] Sen A.R.: Successive sampling with two auxiliary variables. *Sankhyā* **B33**, 371–378 (1971)
- [29] Sen A.R.: Successive sampling with p-auxiliary variables. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **43**, 203–204 (1972).
- [30] Sen A.R.: Theory and applications of sampling on repeated occasions with several auxiliary variables. *Biometrics* **29**, 318–385 (1973a)
- [31] Sen A.R.: Some theory of sampling on successive occasions. *Aust. J. Stat.* **15**, 105–110 (1973b)
- [32] Sen A.R., Sellers S., Smith G.E.J.: The use of a ratio estimate in successive sampling. *Biometrics* **31**, 673–683 (1975)
- [33] Singh D.: Estimation in successive sampling using a multi-stage design. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **63**, 99–112 (1968)
- [34] Singh R.: A modified ppswr scheme for sampling over two occasions. *Sankhyā* **C42**, 124–127 (1980)
- [35] Singh D., Kathuria O.P.: On two-stage successive sampling. *Aust. J. Stat.* **11**(2), 59–66 (1969)
- [36] Singh D., Singh B.D.: Double sampling for stratification on successive occasions. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **60**, 784–792 (1965)
- [37] Singh H. P., et al.: Estimation of population variance in successive sampling. *Qual Quant.* **45**(3), 477-494 (2011)
- [38] Singh P., Yadav R.J.: Generalized estimation under successive sampling. *J. Indian Soc. Agric. Stat.* **44**, 27–36 (1992)
- [39] Singh H.P., Singh H.P., Singh V.P.: A generalized efficient class of estimators of population mean in two phase and successive sampling. *Int. J. Manag. Syst.* **8**(2), 173–183 (1992)

- [40] Singh, H. P., J. M. Kim, and T. A. Tarray. A family of estimators of population variance in two-occasion rotation patterns. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods* **45**, (14):4106–16 (2016).
- [41] Srivastava S.K., Jhajj H.S.: Improved estimation in two phase and successive sampling. *J. Indian Stat. Assoc.* **25**, 71–75 (1987)
- [42] Swain, A.K.P.C. : On the sampling on two occasions with an auxiliary variable observed on the first occasion. *Contribution to Statistics*, 93–102 (1978–1979)
- [43] Tikkiwal, B.D.: Theory of successive sampling. Thesis for Diploma, ICAR, New Delhi (1951)
- [44] Tikkiwal B.D.: Optimum allocation in successive sampling. *J. Indian Soc. Agric. Stat.* **5**, 100–102 (1953)
- [45] Tikkiwal B.D.: A further contribution to the theory of univariate sampling on successive occasions. *J. Indian Soc. Agric. Stat.* **5**, 84–90 (1956)
- [46] Tikkiwal B.D.: Theory of successive two-stage sampling (Abstract). *Ann. Math. Stat.* **29**, 1291 (1958)
- [47] Tikkiwal B.D.: On the theory of classical regression and double sampling estimation. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc.* **B22**, 131–138 (1960)
- [48] Tikkiwal B.D.: A note on two-stage sampling on successive occasions. *Sankhyā* **A26**, 97–100 (1964)
- [49] Tikkiwal B.D.: Theory of multiphase sampling from a finite population of successive occasions. *Rev. Inst. Int. Stat.* **35**(3), 247–263 (1967)
- [50] Tripathi T.P., Srivastava O.P.: Estimation on successive occasions using PPSWR. *Sankhya* **C41**, 84–91 (1979)
- [51] Tripathi T.P., Mir A.H., Chaturvedi D.K.: Estimation of mean on second occasion using pps sampling and multivariate information. *Alig. J. Stat.* **9**, 16–27 (1989a)