



Brain areas that mediate sentence comprehension in primary progressive aphasia: Evidence from perfusion imaging

Olivia Herrmann, Alexandros Afthinos,
Charalambos Themistocleous, Hongli Fan, Hanzhang Lu and
Kyrana Tsapkini

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid
dissemination of research results and are
integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

August 30, 2021

Brain areas that mediate sentence comprehension in primary progressive aphasia: Evidence from perfusion imaging

Olivia Herrmann¹, Alexandros Afthinos¹, Haris Themistocleous¹, Hongli Fan^{2,3}, Hanzhang Lu^{2,3,4}, & Kyrana Tsapkini^{*1,5}

¹ Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

² The Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology & Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

³ Department of Biomedical Engineering Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁴ F.M. Kirby Research Center for Functional Brain Imaging, Kennedy Krieger Research Institute, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁵ Department of Cognitive Science, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

*Olivia Herrmann, oherrma1@jh.edu

Introduction: Beyond the core linguistic deficits, individuals with aphasia exhibit concomitant deficits in executive functions, in particular working memory (WM) (e.g., Caplan, Michaud, & Hufford, 2013; Murray, 2012), which is responsible for active mental manipulation. Syntactic processing in sentence comprehension requires such a storage and computational system, and deficits in WM have been shown to predict sentence comprehension in post-stroke aphasia (Pettigrew & Hillis, 2014; Varkanitsa & Caplan, 2018). Little research has been done in primary progressive aphasia (PPA) despite the existence of sentence comprehension deficits in all variants. In this study, we asked whether performance on a prevalent WM task (Digit Span backward; DSB) predicts performance on sentence comprehension (SOAP Test) (Love & Oster, 2002) and which brain areas mediate such effects, particularly the left middle frontal gyrus (MFG), an important area for WM or the left inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), a typical language area.

Methods: Thirty-six participants with PPA (mean age 67.05 ± 5.86 years) underwent comprehensive baseline cognitive-linguistic evaluations followed by an MRI, specifically a pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling (pCASL) sequence. All MRI scans were performed on a 3T MRI scanner with 8-channel head coil (Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands). pCASL sequence scan parameters were: field of view = 205×205 mm², matrix = 64×64 , 39 axial slices, thickness = 3.2 mm, TR/TE = 5817/9.3 msec, labeling duration = 1.8 seconds, post-labeling delay = 1.8 seconds, 6 pairs of label and control images, 3D gradient-and-spin-echo with background suppression, duration 5 minutes 14 seconds. Cerebral blood flow (CBF) maps were generated from the pCASL MRI images using JHU's cloud-based ASL analysis software, ASL-MRICloud (<https://braingps.mricloud.org/asl>) (Li et al., 2019). Relative CBF (i.e., perfusion) was calculated by dividing CBF within a brain region by total CBF over the entire brain. Simple linear regression showed predictive values for behavioral measures (DSB and SOAP scores) and perfusion, and multiple linear regression revealed mediation effects (Shrout & Bolger, 2002).

Results: Performance on DSB significantly predicted performance on sentence comprehension, SOAP scores (see Table 1). DSB was also significantly associated with perfusion of the left MFG

and left IFG opercularis (IFGoperc). Importantly, DSB was not associated with perfusion of the IFG triangularis (IFGtri). When DSB and the left MFG were used in a multiple linear regression for SOAP, DSB had less predictive power of SOAP scores than the simple linear regression of DSB on SOAP, indicating a partial mediation effect of the left MFG. Similarly, when DSB and the left IFGoperc were used in a multiple linear regression for SOAP, DSB again had less predictive power of SOAP scores than its corresponding simple linear regression, indicating another partial mediation effect of the left IFGoperc.

Conclusions: The present study indicates that the left MFG and left IFGoperc partially mediate WM associations with sentence comprehension as previously shown in Turken & Dronkers, 2011 and Zaccarella & Friederici, 2015. These findings highlight that performance on a basic WM test may predict sentence comprehension, mostly to the extent that MFG and IFG operc (but not IFGtri) are involved. We present a simple mediation model that future studies could investigate unique contributions of other brain areas or differences between variants as mediators of correlations between cognitive functions to language.

References:

- Caplan, D., Michaud, J., & Hufford, R. (2013). Short-term memory, working memory, and syntactic comprehension in aphasia. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, *30*(2), 77–109.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02643294.2013.803958>
- Li, Y., Liu, P., Li, Y., Fan, H., Su, P., Peng, S.-L., ... Lu, H. (2019). ASL-MRICloud: An online tool for the processing of ASL MRI data. *NMR in Biomedicine*, *32*(2), e4051.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.4051>
- Love, T., & Oster, E. (2002). On the Categorization of Aphasic Typologies: The SOAP (A Test of Syntactic Complexity). *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, *31*(5), 503–529.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021208903394>
- Murray, L. L. (2012). Direct and indirect treatment approaches for addressing short-term or working memory deficits in aphasia. *Aphasiology*, *26*(3–4), 317–337.
- Pettigrew, C., & Hillis, A. E. (2014). Role for Memory Capacity in Sentence Comprehension: Evidence from Acute Stroke. *Aphasiology*, *28*(10), 1258–1280. (25221377).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02687038.2014.919436>
- Shrout, P. E., & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods*, *7*(4), 422–445.
- Turken, A. U., & Dronkers, N. F. (2011). The Neural Architecture of the Language Comprehension Network: Converging Evidence from Lesion and Connectivity Analyses. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, *5*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2011.00001>
- Varkanitsa, M., & Caplan, D. (2018). On the association between memory capacity and sentence comprehension: Insights from a systematic review and meta-analysis of the aphasia literature. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, *48*(July 2017), 4–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroling.2018.03.003>
- Zaccarella, E., & Friederici, A. D. (2015). Merge in the Human Brain: A Sub-Region Based Functional Investigation in the Left Pars Opercularis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01818>

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to our participants for their unfailing commitment and interest in our study. The authors also thank referring physicians. This work was supported by grants from the Science of Learning Institute at Johns Hopkins University and by the NIH/NIDCD through awards R01 DC014475 and R01 AG068881 to K.T.

Table 1: Simple and Multiple Linear Regression Results of Behavioral Scores & CBF of Brain Areas

| Regression Description (df = 34) | DSB Coefficient | DSB Adjusted R² | DSB t-statistic | p-value |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| DSB on SOAP | 3.18 | 42% | 5.14 | 0.00001 |
| DSB on CBF of left MFG | 0.09 | 37% | 4.63 | 0.00005 |
| DSB on CBF of left IFGperc | 0.04 | 21% | 1.80 | 0.08 |
| DSB on CBF of left IFGtri | 0.02 | -0.1% | 0.97 | 0.34 |
| DSB + left MFG on SOAP | 2.40 | 45% | 3.12 | 0.003 |
| DSB + left IFGperc on SOAP | 2.81 | 47% | 4.54 | 0.00007 |