



Efficient Algorithm for Graph Isomorphism Problem

Rama Murthy Garimella

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

January 15, 2020

Efficient Algorithms for Graph Isomorphism Problem

Garimella Rama Murthy*

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

*Mahindra Ecole Centrale, Hyderabad, India

ABSTRACT

In this research paper, two polynomial time algorithms for graph isomorphism problem (i.e. effectively deciding whether two graphs are isomorphic) are discussed under some conditions. The algorithms are essentially based on linear algebraic concepts related to graphs. Also, some new results in spectral graph theory are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Directed/undirected, weighted/unweighted graphs naturally arise in various applications. Such graphs are associated with matrices such as weight matrix, incidence matrix, adjacency matrix, Laplacian etc. Such matrices implicitly specify the number of vertices/ edges, adjacency information of vertices (with edge connectivity) and other related information (such as edge weights). In recent years, there is explosive interest in capturing networks arising in applications such as social networks, transportation networks, bio-informatics related networks (e.g. gene regulatory networks) using suitable graphs. Thus, NETWORK SCIENCE led to important problems such as community extraction, frequent sub-graph mining etc. In many applications the problem of deciding whether two given graphs are isomorphic (i.e. the two graphs are essentially same upto relabeling the vertices) naturally arises.

This research paper is organized in the following manner. In section 2, relevant research literature is briefly reviewed. In section 3, two polynomial time algorithms, to test if two graphs are isomorphic are discussed. In section 4, interesting results related to spectral graph theory are discussed. The research paper concludes in section 5.

2. REVIEW OF RESEARCH LITERATURE:

L. Babai recently claimed quasi-polynomial time algorithm for determining if two graphs are isomorphic [1] . This is the most recent contribution to the graph isomorphism problem. Specifically Babai showed that graph isomorphism problem can be solved in $(\exp((\log n)^{O(1)}))$ time [2]. For the problem, the previous known best bound was $\exp(o(\text{square root of } (n \log n)))$, where 'n' is the number of vertices (Luks, 1983, [3]). There are other research efforts which provide approximate solutions to the problem (i.e. approximate algorithms were designed). Also, the problem of solving Graph Isomorphism has been attempted using the quadratic non-negative matrix factorization problem[15].

3. POLYNOMIAL TIME ALGORITHMS FOR GRAPH ISOMORPHISM PROBLEM (UNDER SOME CONDITIONS) :

We now briefly review relevant results from spectral graph theory.

3.1 Spectral Graph Theory: Spectral graph theory deals with the study of properties of a graph in relationship to the characteristic polynomial, eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices associated with the graph, such as its adjacency matrix or Laplacian matrix.

- An undirected graph has a symmetric adjacency matrix A and hence all its eigenvalues are real. Furthermore, the eigenvectors are orthonormal.

We have the following definition

Definition: An undirected graph's SPECTRUM is the multiset of real eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix, A . Graphs whose spectrum is same are called co-spectral.

Remark 1. It is well known that isomorphic graphs are co-spectral. But co-spectral graphs need not be isomorphic. Thus spectrum being same is only a necessary condition for graphs to be isomorphic (but not sufficient). Thus, it is clear that the eigenvectors of adjacency matrices of isomorphic graphs must be constrained in a suitable manner (orthonormal basis vectors of the symmetric adjacency matrices are somehow related for isomorphic graphs).

3.2. Polynomial Time Algorithm to determine cospectral Graphs:

Lemma 1: The problem of determining if two graphs are Co-Spectral is in P (i.e. a polynomial time algorithm exists)

Proof: Since the elements of adjacency matrix are '0's and '1's, the characteristic polynomial of it is a polynomial with integer coefficients. Thus, there exists a polynomial time algorithm [2] (LLL algorithm) to compute the zeroes of such polynomial i.e. spectrum of associated graph. Thus the problem of determining if two graphs are cospectral is in P (class of polynomial time algorithms).....Q.E.D.

Note: By Perron-Frobenius theorem, the spectral radius of an irreducible adjacency matrix (non-negative matrix) is real, positive and simple. Thus, to check for the necessary condition on isomorphic graphs, a first step is to determine if the spectral radius of two graphs are exactly same.

Definition: Two graphs are isomorphic, if the vertices of one graph are obtained by relabeling the vertices of another graph.

3.3. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions: Isomorphism of Graphs:

3.3.1 Necessary Conditions: Isomorphism of Graphs.

- The following necessary conditions for isomorphism of graphs with adjacency matrices A, B can be checked before applying the following algorithm

- Check if $\text{Trace}(A) = \text{Trace}(B)$ and if $\text{Determinant}(A) = \text{Determinant}(B)$
- Check if Spectral radius of A, B are same. This can be done using the Jacob's algorithm for computing the largest zero of a polynomial. Since the coefficients of characteristic polynomial are integers, we expect the computational complexity of this task to be smaller. If this step fails, all other zeroes need not be computed.

We now consider two separate Cases:

CASE I: Eigenvalues of Adjacency Matrix are all DISTINCT.

To provide the necessary and sufficient conditions for graph isomorphism, we need the following well know Lemma from Linear Algebra.

Lemma 2: Every symmetric matrix has UNIQUE spectral representation (Eigen Decomposition) when all its eigenvalues are distinct.

Proof: Refer Linear Algebra book [Str] Q.E.D.

Let the adjacency matrices of two given co-spectral graphs be A, B. Suppose the graphs are isomorphic i.e. there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$B = P A P^T \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

But, since A is a symmetric matrix, we have that

$$A = F D F^T$$

where D is the Diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of A and F is an UNIQUE *orthogonal matrix* (i.e. $F^T = F^{-1}$) whose columns are right eigenvectors of A. Also, we have that

$$B = G D G^T \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

Thus, we must have that

$$G = P F \text{ or } G F^T = P.$$

But, we know that a Permutation matrix, P must be DOUBLY STOCHASTIC and *there is precisely one $p_{ij} = 1$ in each row and each column.*

Thus, the orthogonal matrices G, F must be related by the above equation i.e. we need to check if P is doubly stochastic and if precisely *one $p_{ij} = 1$ in each row and each column.*

Therefore, the above condition is necessary and sufficient.

Hence, we have proved the following Lemma.

Lemma 3: Given the above Spectral Representations of adjacency matrices A, B (with unique G, F) of two graphs, they are isomorphic if and only if $G F^T = P$, where P is a Permutation matrix.

The computational complexity of checking the above condition leads to a polynomial time algorithm under some conditions. Based on the above results, we summarize the following steps in the algorithm to determine if two graphs are isomorphic.

CASE II: Eigenvalues of A, B are NOT ALL DISTINCT (some eigenvalues are repeated):

We now consider the more general problem when the eigenvalues of A, B (that are all equal) are NOT DISTINCT.

- **Quadratic Non-Negative Matrix Factorization:** As discussed earlier, from equation (1), the problem boils to determine if a Permutation matrix P exists such that

$$B = P A P^T.$$

Such a problem is already being attempted using the approach based on Quadratic Non-Negative Matrix Factorization [15]. The results proposed for such a problem readily apply for determining isomorphism of two graphs.

- **Structured Quadratic Programming Problems:** There is another interesting way of looking at the equation (1). Let the unknown matrix P be given by (in terms of columns)

$$P = [P_1 P_2 \dots P_N].$$

Since A, B are { 0, 1 } matrices, we have homogeneous, second degree equations (quadratic forms) in the elements of unknown matrix P with coefficients being { 0, 1 } and the bi-variate homogeneous polynomials being equated with values { 0, 1 }. Further the variables (i.e. elements of P) are constrained to be { 0, 1 }. Hence, we have structured set of simultaneous quadratic programming problems. The problem boils down to testing if the { 0, 1 } solutions (if they exist) lead to a permutation matrix, P.

- **Algebraic Riccati Equation: Symmetric Permutation Matrix P**

The quadratic matrix equation (non-linear) has resemblance to the Symmetric Algebraic Riccati Equation of the following form

$$X C X - A X - X A^T + B = 0$$

(with compatible matrices X, C, A, B), where *the matrix B and C are symmetric and X is the unknown matrix*. As can be readily seen the matrix equation (1) is a *structured symmetric Algebraic Riccati equation* with P being a symmetric unknown matrix and $A \equiv 0$.

The known algorithms for solving such a Riccati equation readily apply for testing isomorphism of two graphs for which P is a symmetric permutation matrix. Specifically, there are efforts to determine the non-negative matrix solutions of Riccati equation [16]. It should be kept in mind that the solution of algebraic Riccati equation that is of interest to us is a structured { 0, 1 } matrix.

Remark 2: In view of the above three equivalent problems, the results available for solution of one problem can be utilized in the solution of other problems. For instance, when eigenvalues of A, B are equal and distinct, the algorithm discussed for graph isomorphism can be utilized in other problems.

3.3.2 Polynomial Time Algorithm under some conditions: Algorithmic Steps: Proof of Correctness of the Algorithm: Computational Complexity:

CASE I: Eigenvalues of $(\lambda I - A)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients. Also, characteristic polynomial of B has integer coefficients. If the eigenvalues of A, B are not same, then they are not even cospectral and the algorithm stops. If they are cospectral, proceed to the following step to determine if they are isomorphic graphs.

Computational Complexity: A polynomial time algorithm (LLL Algorithm) [2] exists for this problem.

- (1) Compute Spectral representation of adjacency matrices A, B of two given graphs:

$$A = F D F^T \text{ and } B = G D G^T$$

where D is the common set of eigenvalues and F, G are the unique orthogonal matrices.

Computational Complexity: Efficient polynomial time algorithms exist for this problem when the eigenvalues are rational numbers. Effectively, eigenvectors of A, B are computed in polynomial time. Gaussian Elimination to compute every eigenvector requires atmost $O(N^3)$ Computation (additions, multiplications). Thus, in the worst case, this step requires $O(N^4)$ computations (additions, multiplications) for computing all the eigenvectors.

Note: Research effort motivated by Strassen's algorithm currently requires $O(N^{2.4})$ Computations. Thus the Step (2) requires smaller number of computations.

- (2) Determine if $G F^T = P$, where P is a Permutation matrix i.e. if P is doubly stochastic and there is precisely *one* $p_{ij} = 1$ in each row and each column.

Computational Complexity: Efficient polynomial time algorithms are well known for this problem. This step requires $O(N^2)$ comparisons.

Note: If the eigenvalues of A, B are rational numbers, polynomial time algorithm definitely exists. Even if the eigenvalues are irrational numbers, it is possible that a polynomial time algorithm can be found.

Note: For step 2, Prof. Lovasz informed the author that if we assume that exact real arithmetic can be carried out, polynomial time algorithm exists. He also informed that if we can model the problem in an approximate computing model, polynomial time algorithm exists [3].

Note: Interesting discussion on how non-isomorphic two graphs are is included in [4]. We now utilize Laplacian matrices of graphs to determine if they are isomorphic. This approach leads to **another algorithm for the problem which is more efficient.**

3.4 Cholesky Decomposition: Another Algorithm for Graph Isomorphism:

Let $\{G_1, G_2\}$ be diagonal matrices with vertex degrees of the two graphs. Also, let $\{A_1, A_2\}$ be the adjacency matrices of those graphs. Hence, by definition, the Laplacian matrices of the graphs $\{L_1, L_2\}$ are given by

$$L_1 = G_1 - A_1 \text{ and } L_2 = G_2 - A_2 \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

It is well known that the Laplacian matrix of a graph is positive semi-definite. Thus, Cholesky Decomposition of Laplacian matrix exists (which is not necessarily unique). Such a decomposition can be computed efficiently. Thus, we have that

$$L_1 = N_1 N_1^T \text{ and } L_2 = N_2 N_2^T, \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

where N_1 and N_2 are lower triangular matrices.

If the graphs are isomorphic, we readily have that

$$L_2 = P L_1 P^T = P N_1 N_1^T P^T = N_2 N_2^T \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

Hence, it follows that

$$N_2 = P N_1 \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

Thus, a necessary and sufficient condition for the graphs to be isomorphic is that

$$N_2 N_1^{-1} = P \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

where P must be a Permutation matrix which is doubly stochastic and there is precisely one $p_{ij} = 1$ in each row and each column.

Hence, we have proved the following Lemma.

Lemma 4: Given the Cholesky Decomposition of Laplacian matrices of two graphs, they are isomorphic if and only if $N_2 N_1^{-1} = P$, where P is a Permutation matrix.

As in the case of algorithm in 3.3.2, the above test for graph isomorphism leads to another algorithm for graph isomorphism. This algorithm is known to be more efficient.

4. Spectral Graph Theory: Interesting Proof of a Known Result:

Fact: While the adjacency matrix depends on the vertex labeling, its spectrum is a graph invariant.

We now provide an interesting proof of the above fact. In fact, the corollary of Lemma 5 is a much stronger result. We need the following well known theorem.

• **Rayleigh's Theorem:** The local optima of the quadratic form associated with a symmetric matrix A on the unit Euclidean hypersphere (i.e. $\{X: X^T X = 1\}$) occur at the eigenvectors with the corresponding value of the quadratic form being the eigenvalue.

Lemma 5. Eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of an undirected graph, A are invariant under relabeling of the vertices.

Proof: By Rayleigh's theorem, eigenvalues of A are the local optimum of the associated quadratic form evaluated on the unit hypersphere. Thus, we need to reason that the quadratic form remains

invariant under relabeling of the vertices. We have that

$$X^T A X = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} x_i x_j = x_1 (x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} + \dots + x_{i_k}) + x_2 (x_{j_1} + x_{j_2} + \dots + x_{j_l}) + \dots + x_N (x_{N_1} + x_{N_2} + \dots + x_{N_m})$$

where, for instance, $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ are the vertices connected to the vertex 1 (one) (and similarly other vertices).

Now, from the above expression, it is clear that the quadratic form remains invariant under relabeling of the vertices. Specifically, relabeling just reorders the expressions. Thus, the eigenvalues of A remain invariant under relabeling of vertices **Q. E..D**

Corollary: Since the quadratic form remains invariant under relabeling of the vertices, the local optima of the quadratic form over various constraint sets remain invariant. For instance, the stable values (i.e. local optima of quadratic form associated with a symmetric matrix over the unit hypercube) remain same under relabeling of the vertices of graph.

Note: Consider a Homogeneous multi-variate polynomial associated with, say, a FULLY SYMMETRIC TENSOR. The local optima of such a homogenous form over various constraint sets such as Euclidean Unit Hypersphere, multi-dimensional hypercube remain invariant under relabeling of nodes of a non-planar graph. Effectively relabeling of vertices, reorders the monomials (terms in multivariate polynomial).

4.CONCLUSION:

In this research paper, results in spectral graph theory of structured graphs are discussed. An efficient algorithm for testing if two graphs are isomorphic is discussed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks Prof. L. Lovasz and Prof. Sanjeev Arora for providing some references.

REFERENCES

[1] László Babai. Graph isomorphism in quasipolynomial time [extended abstract]. In *Proceedings of the Forty-eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '16*, pages 684–697, New York, NY, USA, 2016. ACM. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2897518.2897542>, doi:10.1145/2897518.2897542.

[2] Laszlo Babai, "Graph Isomorphism in Quasipolynomial Time," Available on Archive, arXiv:1512.03547v2 [cs.DS] 19 Jan 2016

[3] Derek Gordon Corneil and Calvin C Gotlieb. An efficient algorithm for graph isomorphism. *193 Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 17(1):51–64, 1970.

- [4] Dragoš M Cvetkovic, Michael Doob, Horst Sachs, et al. *Spectra of graphs*, volume 10. Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [5] John E. Hopcroft and Robert Endre Tarjan. Av log v algorithm for isomorphism of triconnected planar graphs. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 7(3):323–331, 1973.
- [6] John E Hopcroft and Jin-Kue Wong. Linear time algorithm for isomorphism of planar graphs (preliminary report). In *Proceedings of the sixth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 172–184. ACM, 1974.
- [7] A. K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra, and L. Lovász. Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. *Mathematische Annalen*, 261(4):515–534, Dec 1982. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01457454>, doi:10.1007/BF01457454.
- [8] L. Lovasz. *An Algorithmic Theory of Numbers, Graphs and Convexity*. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1987. URL: <https://books.google.co.in/books?id=sJ3mBHTU55QC>.
- [9] Eugene M Luks. Isomorphism of graphs of bounded valence can be tested in polynomial time. *Journal of computer and system sciences*, 25(1):42–65, 1982.
- [10] G. Rama Murthy. Novel Shannon graph entropy, capacity: Spectral graph theory: Polynomial time algorithm for graph isomorphism. Technical Report IIIT/TR/2015/61, 2015.
- [11] Gilbert Strang, "Linear Algebra and its Applications," Thomson-Cole Publishers.
- [12] Eugene M. Luks: Computing the composition factors of a permutation group in polynomial time. *Combinatorica* 7 (1987) 87–99.
- [13] Eugene M. Luks: Permutation groups and polynomial-time computation. In: *Groups and Computation*, DIMACS Ser. in Discr. Math. and Theor. Computer Sci. 11 (1993) 139–175.87
- [14] Eugene M. Luks: Hypergraph Isomorphism and Structural Equivalence of Boolean Functions. In: 31st ACM STOC, 1999, pp. 652-658.
- [15] Z. Yang and E. Oja, "Quadratic Non-Negative Matrix Factorization," *Pattern Recognition*, Vol. 45, Issue 4, April 2012, pp. 1500-1510.
- [16] Chun-Hua Guo, "A Note on the Minimal Non-Negative Solution of a non-symmetric algebraic Riccati equation," *Linear Algebra and Application*, 357: 299-302, 2002